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Abstract

In this module of the numerica package, commands are defined which iterate and find fixed points of functions of a single variable, which find the zeros or extrema of such functions, and which calculate the terms of recurrence relations.

Note:

- This document applies to version 1.0.0 of numerica-plus.def.
- Reasonably recent versions of the \TeX3 bundles \texttt{l3kernel} and \texttt{l3packages} are required.
- I refer a number of times in this document to Handbook of Mathematical Functions, edited by Milton Abramowitz and Irene A. Segun, Dover, 1965. This is abbreviated to HMF.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

By calling \texttt{numerica} with the \texttt{plus} package option in the preamble,
\begin{verbatim}
\usepackage[plus]{numerica}
\end{verbatim}
a number of additional commands are loaded:

\begin{itemize}
\item \texttt{\textbackslash \mcIterate}, a command to iterate a function (apply it repeatedly to itself), including finding fixed points (values $x$ where $f(x) = x$);
\item \texttt{\mcSolve}, a command to find the zeros of functions of a single variable (values $x$ for which $f(x) = 0$) or, failing that, local maxima or minima of such functions;
\item \texttt{\mcRecur}, a command to calculate the values of terms in recurrence relations in a single (recurrence) variable (like the terms of the Fibonacci sequence or Legendre polynomials).
\end{itemize}

These commands are defined in the package \texttt{numerica-plus.def} which is loaded with \texttt{numerica.sty} when the \texttt{plus} option is used. The new commands all share the syntax of the \texttt{\mcEvaluate} command. I will discuss them individually in later chapters but turn first to an example that illustrates their use and gives a sense of ‘what they are about’.

\subsection*{1.1 Example of use: the rotating disk}

Consider a disk rotating uniformly with angular velocity $\omega$ in an anticlockwise sense in an inertial system in which the disk’s centre 0 is at rest. Three distinct points 1, 2, 3 are fixed in the disk and, in a co-rotating polar coordinate system centred at 0, have polar coordinates $(r_i, \theta_i)$ ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$). Choose $01$ as initial line so that $\theta_1 = 0$.

The cosine rule for solving triangles tells us that the time $t_{ij}$ in the underlying inertial system for a signal to pass from $i$ to $j$ satisfies the equation

\begin{equation}
\begin{aligned}
t_{ij} = c^{-1} \sqrt{r_i^2 + r_j^2 - 2r_ir_j \cos(\theta_j - \theta_i + \omega t_{ij})} \equiv f(t_{ij}),
\end{aligned}
\end{equation}

\end{equation}
where $c$ is the speed of light. (Equally, we could be describing an acoustic signal between points on a disk rotating uniformly in a still, uniform atmosphere – in which case $c$ would be the speed of sound.) Although the equation doesn’t solve algebraically for the time $t_{ij}$, it does tell us that $t = t_{ij}$ is a fixed point of the function $f(t)$. To calculate fixed points we use the command \texttt{\textbackslash \textit{\texttt{nmclIterate}}}, or its short-name form \texttt{\textit{\texttt{iter}}}, with the star option, \texttt{\textit{\texttt{iter*}}}. For \texttt{\textit{\texttt{iter}}} the star option means: continue iterating until a fixed point has been reached and, as with the \texttt{\textit{\texttt{eval}}} command, suppress all elements from the display save for the numerical result.

First, though, values need to be assigned to the various parameters. Suppose we use units in which $c = 30$, $r_1 = 10$ and $r_3 = 20$; also $\omega = 0.2$ radians per second and $\theta_{13} \equiv \theta_3 - \theta_1 = 0.2$ radians. We shall give $t$ an initial value 1 (plucked from the air). Its position as the rightmost item in the vv-list tells \texttt{\textit{\texttt{iter}}} that $t$ is the iteration variable:

\begin{verbatim}
iter*{\ c^{-1}\sqrt{r_1^2+r_3^2-2r_1 r_3 \cos(\theta_{13}+\omega t)}
} \[c=30,r_1=10,r_3=20,\theta_{13}=0.2,
\omega=0.2,t=1 \], \quad\texttt{quad\texttt{\textbackslash info\texttt{iter}}}.
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
  \Rightarrow 0.356899, \quad 5 \text{ iterations. The \texttt{nmclInfo} command or, more briefly \texttt{\textbackslash info}, can be used to display the number of iterations required to attain the fixed-point value.}
\end{verbatim}

To six figures, only five iterations are needed, which seems rapid but we can check this by substituting $t = 0.356899$ back into the formula and \texttt{evaluating} it:

\begin{verbatim}
eval*{\ c^{-1}\sqrt{r_1^2+r_3^2-2r_1 r_3 \cos(\theta_{13}+\omega t)}
} \[c=30,r_1=10,r_3=20,\theta_{13}=0.2,
\omega=0.2,t=0.356899 \]
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
  \Rightarrow 0.356899, confirming that we have indeed calculated a fixed point. That it took only 5 iterations can be checked by omitting the asterisk from the \texttt{\textit{\texttt{iter}}} command and specifying the total number of iterations to perform. I choose \texttt{do=7} to show not just the 5th iteration but also the next two just to confirm that the result is stable. We shall view all 7: \texttt{see=7}. I have also suppressed display of the vv-list by giving the key \texttt{vvd} an empty value,\footnote{See the associated document \texttt{numerica-basics.pdf}, the chapter on settings.} since there are too many variables to display on a line:
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
iter[do=7,see=7,vvd=]
  \{[\ c^{-1}\sqrt{r_1^2+r_3^2-2r_1 r_3 \cos(\theta_{13}+\omega t)} \]
  \[c=30,r_1=10,r_3=20,\theta_{13}=0.2,
  \omega=0.2,t=1 \]
\end{verbatim}
\[ c^{-1} \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_3^2 - 2r_1 r_3 \cos(\theta_{13} + \omega t)} = 0.382355 \]

\( \rightarrow 0.357756 \)

\( \rightarrow 0.356928 \)

\( \rightarrow 0.3569 \)

\( \rightarrow 0.356899 \)

\( \rightarrow 0.356899 \)

\( \rightarrow 0.356899 \)

This display makes clear that on the 5th iteration, the 6-figure value has been attained.

Alternatively, we could use the \texttt{\textbackslash nmcRecur} command, or its short-name form \texttt{\textbackslash recur}, to view the successive iterations since an iteration is a first-order recurrence: \( f_{n+1} = f(f_n) \):

\begin{verbatim}
\texttt{\textbackslash recur[do=8,see1=0,see2=5,vvd={,\\(vv)\\},\ast]}
\{[ f_{n+1}=c^{-1}\sqrt{r_1^2+r_3^2-2r_1 r_3 \cos(\theta_{13}+\omega f_n)} \]}
\[ c=30, r_1=10, r_3=20, \theta_{13}=0.2, \omega=0.2, f_{0}=1 \]
\end{verbatim}

\[ \Rightarrow f_{n+1} = c^{-1} \sqrt{r_1^2 + r_3^2 - 2r_1 r_3 \cos(\theta_{13} + \omega f_n)} \]

\( (c = 30, r_1 = 10, r_3 = 20, \theta_{13} = 0.2, \omega = 0.2, f_0 = 1) \)

\( \rightarrow 0.356928, 0.3569, 0.356899, 0.356899, 0.356899 \)

I have specified \texttt{do=8} terms rather than 7 since the zero-th term \( (f_0 = 1) \) is included in the count. I’ve chosen to view the last 5 of them but none prior to those by writing \texttt{see1=0,see2=5}. The \texttt{vv}-list has been suppressed from the display \( (\texttt{vvd=} \) and the numerical results forced onto a new line (without an equation number – the * in the \texttt{vv}-list).

Another and perhaps more obvious way to find the value of \( t_{13} \), is to look for a zero of the function \( f(t) - t \). That means using the command \texttt{\textbackslash nmcSolve} or its short-name form \texttt{\textbackslash solve}. I shall do so with the star option \texttt{\textbackslash solve\ast} which suppresses display of all but the numerical result. A trial value for \( t \) is required. I have chosen \( t=0 \):

\begin{verbatim}
\texttt{\textbackslash solve\ast{ c^{-1}\sqrt{r_1^2+r_3^2-2r_1 r_3 \cos(\theta_{13}+\omega t)} - t }}
\[ c=30, r_1=10, r_3=20, \theta_{13}=0.2, \omega=0.2, t=0 \]
\end{verbatim}

\( \Rightarrow 0.356898, 1+20 \text{ steps.} \)

Nearly the same answer as before is attained but this time many more steps have been required. This is to be expected. The \texttt{\textbackslash solve} command uses the
bisection method. Since $1/2^{10} \approx 1/10^3$ or 10 bisections for 3 decimal places, we can expect about 20 bisections for a 6-decimal-place answer. The particular form of the \texttt{\textbackslash mcInfo} command display, ‘1 + 20 steps’, indicates that it took 1 search step to find an interval in which the function vanished and, having found that interval, 20 bisections to narrow the position of the zero to 6-figures.

1.1.1 Circuits

Okay, so we can calculate the time taken in the underlying inertial system for a signal to pass from one point of the rotating disk to another. How long does it take to traverse the circuit 1 to 2 to 3 back to 1? That means forming the sum $t_{12} + t_{23} + t_{31}$, hence calculating the separate $t_{ij}$ and then using \texttt{\textbackslash eval} to calculate their sum.

To make things neater and easier to read, I assume a little symmetry. Let the coordinates of 1 be $(a, 0)$, of 2 be $(r, -\theta)$, and of 3 be $(r, \theta)$: 2 and 3 are at the same radial distance from the centre 0 and at the same angular distance but on opposite sides of the line 01, 3 an angle $\theta$ ahead of 1, 2 an angle $\theta$ behind 1. The rotation is in the direction of positive $\theta$. Rather than just calculate $t_{12} + t_{23} + t_{31}$ for the circuit 1231, I also calculate the time $t_{13} + t_{32} + t_{21}$ for a signal to traverse the opposite circuit 1321 and compare them (form the difference).

Note that with 2 and 3 positioned as they are relative to 1,

$$t_{31} = c^{-1} \sqrt{r^2 + a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \omega t_{31})}$$

and

$$t_{12} = c^{-1} \sqrt{a^2 + r^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta - \omega t_{12})}.$$ 

Both $t_{12}$ and $t_{31}$ are fixed points of the same function

$$F_{-1}(t) = c^{-1} \sqrt{r^2 + a^2 - 2ra \cos(\theta - \omega t).}$$

Similarly, both $t_{13}$ and $t_{21}$ are fixed points of

$$F_{+1}(t) = c^{-1} \sqrt{r^2 + a^2 - 2ra \cos(\theta + \omega t).}$$

Geometrically one can show that $t_{31} = t_{12}$ and $t_{13} = t_{21}$. Analytically, with the values $c = 30, a = 10, r = 20, \omega = 0$,

$$0 < F_{\pm 1}(t) \leq c^{-1} \sqrt{r^2 + a^2 + 2ar} = c^{-1}(r + a) = 1,$$

so that we need look for fixed points only in the interval $[0, 1]$. Differentiating $F_{-1}(t)$ shows the derivative is negative on $[0, 1]$, hence that $F_{-1}$ is strictly decreasing there and can intersect the identity mapping at most once on the interval. Similarly, differentiating $F_{+1}(t)$ shows the derivative

$$\frac{ar \omega \sin(\theta + \omega t)}{c \sqrt{r^2 + a^2 - 2ar \cos(\theta + \omega t)}}$$
is positive on \([0, 1]\), but with the given values for \(c, a\) and \(r\), and with \(\theta = 0.2, \omega = 0.2, F_{+1}(t) < 0.1\) on \([0, 1]\): hence \(F_{+1}\) increases sufficiently slowly on the interval that the identity mapping can intersect it at most once there. In both cases, there can be at most one fixed point.

It follows that \(t_{12} = t_{31}\) and \(t_{13} = t_{21}\) and the round trip times are \(2t_{12} + t_{23}\) and \(2t_{13} + t_{32}\).

### 1.1.1.1 Nesting commands

The calculation to compare the round trip times can be done ‘in one go’ by nesting the \texttt{iter*} commands to calculate the \(t_{ij}\) inside an \texttt{eval*} command to form the sum of their results and then take the difference.

\[
\begin{align*}
\texttt{eval*}\{ & \text{ % circuit 1231} \\
& 2\texttt{iter*}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{a^2+r^2-2ar\cos(\theta-\omega t)} \} \\
& + \texttt{iter*}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{2r^2-2r^2\cos(2\theta+\omega t)} \} \\
\text{ % circuit 1321} & - 2\texttt{iter*}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{a^2+r^2-2ar\cos(\theta+\omega t)} \} \\
& - \texttt{iter*}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{2r^2-2r^2\cos(2\theta-\omega t)} \} \\
\} & [c=30,a=10,r=20,\theta=0.2,\omega=0.2, t=1] \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[= 0.034746.\]

By itself this result is of little interest beyond seeing that \texttt{numerica} can handle it. What is interesting is to find values of \(\theta\), say, given the other parameters and especially the value of \(r\), such that the time difference vanishes. Is there a circuit, despite the rotation of the disk, such that it takes a signal the same time to travel in opposite directions around the circuit? That is a job for \texttt{nmcsolve} (or \texttt{solve}):

\[
\begin{align*}
\texttt{solve[ p=., var=\theta, vvi=]}\{ & \text{ % circuit 1231} \\
& 2\times\texttt{iter*[ var=t]}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{a^2+r^2-2ar\cos(\theta-\omega t)} \} \\
& + \texttt{iter*[ var=t]}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{2r^2-2r^2\cos(2\theta+\omega t)} \} \\
\text{ % circuit 1321} & - 2\times\texttt{iter*[ var=t]}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{a^2+r^2-2ar\cos(\theta+\omega t)} \} \\
& - \texttt{iter*[ var=t]}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{2r^2-2r^2\cos(2\theta-\omega t)} \} \\
\} & [c=30,a=10,r=20,\theta=0.1,\omega=0.2, \{t\}=1]
\end{align*}
\]
\[ 2 \times 0.53778 + 1.221268 - 2 \times 0.614421 - 1.067986 = 0 \rightarrow \theta = 1.035745. \]

One point to note here is the use of \texttt{\times} (in \texttt{2\times\textit{iter}*}) as against the previous example with \texttt{\eval*} where \texttt{\times} was not used. In the present case the formula is displayed (\texttt{\solve} wraps around math delimiters); the star on the \texttt{\eval} command in the previous example suppressed display of the formula. Without the \texttt{\times} the ‘2’s would be juxtaposed against the following decimals, making it look as if the signal travel times were 20.537778 and 20.614419 and no doubt causing perplexity. Also note the \texttt{\vvi=} to suppress display of the vv-list.

But this is not a research paper on the rotating disk. I wished to show how the different commands of \texttt{numerica-plus} can be used to explore a meaningful problem. And although it looks as if a lot of typing is involved, once \( c^{-1}\sqrt{r^2 + a^2 - 2ra \cos(\theta - \omega t)} \) has been formed in \LaTeX{} and values specified in the vv-list, much of the rest is copy-and-paste followed by minor editing.

### 1.2 Shared syntax of the new commands

\texttt{numerica-plus} offers three new commands for three processes: \texttt{\nmcIterate} (short-name form \texttt{\iter}) for iterating functions, \texttt{\nmcSolve} (short-name form \texttt{\solve}) for finding the zeros or (local) extrema of functions, and \texttt{\nmcRecur} (short-name form \texttt{\recur}) for calculating terms of recurrence relations.

All three commands share the syntax of the \texttt{\nmcEvaluate} (or \texttt{\eval}) command detailed in the associated document \texttt{numerica-basics.pdf}. When all options are used then the command looks like

\[
\texttt{\nmcIterate*[settings]{expr.}[vv-list][num. format]}
\]

You can substitute \texttt{\nmcSolve}, or \texttt{\nmcRecur} for \texttt{\nmcIterate} here. The arguments are similar to those for \texttt{\nmcEvaluate}.

1. \texttt{*} optional switch; if present ensures a single number output with no formatting, or an appropriate error message if the single number cannot be produced;

2. \texttt{[settings]} optional comma-separated list of \texttt{key=value} settings for this particular command and calculation;

3. \texttt{\{expr.\}} the only mandatory argument; the mathematical expression in \LaTeX{} form that is the object of interest;

4. \texttt{\[vv-list\]} optional comma-separated list of \texttt{variable=value} items; for \texttt{\iter} and \texttt{\solve} the rightmost (or innermost) variable in the vv-list may have special significance;

5. \texttt{\[num. format\]} optional format specification for presentation of the numerical result (rounding, padding with zeros, scientific notation); boolean output is suppressed for these commands.
Boolean output makes little sense for these three commands and is turned off. Entering a question mark in the trailing number-format option of each command has no effect – see §.

All commands also share with \texttt{mcEvaluate} changes in the way the result is displayed depending on whether they wrap around math delimiters, or are wrapped within math delimiters, or are employed in the absence of math delimiters. These distinctions are relevant \textit{only if the optional star * is absent}.

- When the star option is used, the \textit{result} is a number only without any formatting or vv-list display, or an error message is displayed.
- When the star option is not used and one of the following is the case
  - the command wraps around math delimiters, e.g. \texttt{\textbackslash iter\{expr\}}; then the result is displayed in the form \textit{expression = result} or the form \textit{expression \rightarrow result} as appropriate, where \textit{result} may be multi-line and may include the vv-list,
  - the command is used within math delimiters, e.g. \texttt{\[\texttt{\textbackslash iter...}\]}; then only the \textit{result} is presented, where \textit{result} may be multi-line and may include the vv-list,
  - the command is used in the absence of delimiters, then the result is presented as if it had been used between \texttt{\[} and \texttt{\]}.

Looking at the various examples in the preceding section on the rotating disk you will see illustrations of all these situations.

1.2.1 Settings

Nearly all the settings available to the \texttt{eval} command are available to these other commands. To save switching between documents I reproduce in Table 1.1 the options found in \texttt{numerica-basics.pdf}, although for discussion of the options you will need to refer to that document. In addition, each of the present commands also has settings of its own, discussed at the relevant parts of the following chapters.

1.2.2 Nesting

Provided the starred form of a command actually does produce a numerical result and not an error message then it can be nested within the main argument of any one of the commands, including itself. The example of use, §1.1 above, shows several examples of this. The starred form can also be used in the vv-list of any one of the commands, including itself. The associated document \texttt{numerica-basics.pdf} shows examples of an \texttt{eval*} command being used in the vv-list of an \texttt{eval} command.
## Table 1.1: Inherited settings options

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dbg</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>debug 'magic' integer</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&quot;</td>
<td>char</td>
<td>exponent mark for sci. notation input</td>
<td>e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xx</td>
<td>int(0/1)</td>
<td>multi-token variable switch</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>()</td>
<td>int(0/1/2)</td>
<td>trig. function arg. parsing</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td></td>
<td>degree switch for trig. funcs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log</td>
<td>num</td>
<td>base of logarithms for $\log$</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vvmode</td>
<td>int(0/1)</td>
<td>vv-list calculation mode</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vvd</td>
<td>tokens</td>
<td>vv-list display-style spec.</td>
<td>{,\mskip 12mu plus 6mu minus 9mu(vv)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>vvi</td>
<td>token(s)</td>
<td>vv-list text-style spec.</td>
<td>{,\mskip 36mu minus 24mu(vv)}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td></td>
<td>suppress equation numbering if \ \ in vvd</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>char(s)</td>
<td>punctuation (esp. in display-style)</td>
<td>, (comma)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S+</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>extra rounding for stopping criterion for sums</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S?</td>
<td>int $\geq$ 0</td>
<td>stopping criterion query terms for sums</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P+</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>extra rounding for stopping criterion for products</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P?</td>
<td>int $\geq$ 0</td>
<td>stopping criterion query terms for products</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 2

Iterating functions:
\texttt{\textbackslash{}mcIterate}

Only in desperation would one try to evaluate a continued fraction by stacking fraction upon fraction upon fraction like so:

\[
1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{1 + \ldots}}}}
\]

\texttt{numerica-plus} provides a command for tackling problems like this sensibly. In such problems a function is repeatedly applied to itself (iterated). This can be achieved in \texttt{numerica} through the command \texttt{\textbackslash{}mcIterate} or (short-name form) \texttt{\textbackslash{}iter}. Thus to evaluate this continued fraction we write

\[
\texttt{\textbackslash{}iter[do=15,see=5](\[1+1/x\]})[x=1] \implies
\]

\[
1 + \frac{1}{x}
\]

\(x = 1\)

\[
\ldots \text{ final 5 of 15:} \\
\rightarrow 1.618056 \\
\rightarrow 1.618026 \\
\rightarrow 1.618037 \\
\rightarrow 1.618033 \\
\rightarrow 1.618034
\]

The \texttt{\textbackslash{}iter} command evaluates \(1 + 1/x\) when \(x = 1\) and then uses this value as a new \(x\)-value to substitute into \(1 + 1/x\), to once again evaluate and use as a new \(x\)-value, and so on. It looks as if the repeated iterations are approaching

\[
\texttt{eval\{tfrac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\} = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} = 1.618034.}
\]

Increasing the number of iterations in the example from \texttt{do=15} to, say, \texttt{do=18}, shows that this is indeed the case. I’ve taken the opportunity to also put a
question mark in the number-format option to get boolean output in order to show that it has no effect:

\[ \text{\texttt{iter[do=18,see=5]{\[ 1+1/x \]}}[x=1][?] \Rightarrow} \]
\[ 1 + 1/x = 2, \quad (x = 1) \]
\[ \ldots \text{final 5 of 18:} \]
\[ \rightarrow 1.618033 \]
\[ \rightarrow 1.618034 \]
\[ \rightarrow 1.618034 \]
\[ \rightarrow 1.618034 \]
\[ \rightarrow 1.618034 \]

But iteration of functions is not limited to continued fractions. Particularly since the emergence of chaos theory, iteration has become an important study in its own right. Any function whose range lies within its domain can be iterated – repeatedly applied to itself. The cosine, for instance,

\[ \text{\texttt{iter[do=20,see=4]{\[ \cos x \]}}[x=\pi/2] \Rightarrow} \]
\[ \cos x = 0, \quad (x = \pi/2) \]
\[ \ldots \text{final 4 of 20:} \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.738369 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.739567 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.73876 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.739304 \]

which displays the first one and last four of 20 iterations of \( \cos x \) when \( x = \pi/2 \). It looks as if the cosine is ‘cautiously’ approaching a limit, perhaps around 0.738 or 0.739. You need to nearly double the number of iterations (do=40) to confirm that this is so.

The logistic function \( kx(1-x) \) exhibits a variety of behaviours depending on the value of \( k \). For instance, with \( k = 3.5 \) we get a period-4 cycle:

\[ \text{\texttt{iter[do=12,see=8]{\[ kx(1-x) \]}}[k=3.5,x=0.5] \Rightarrow} \]
\[ kx(1-x) = 0.875, \quad (k = 3.5, x = 0.5) \]
\[ \ldots \text{final 8 of 12:} \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.874997 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.38282 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.826941 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.500884 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.874997 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.38282 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.826941 \]
\[ \rightarrow 0.500884 \]

and with \( k = 3.1 \) we get a period-2 cycle, although it takes many more iterations to stabilize there:
\begin{align*}
\iter[do=44,see=8]{\{ kx(1-x) \}}[k=3.1,x=0.5] \implies \\
kx(1 - x) = 0.775, \quad (k = 3.1, x = 0.5) \\
\quad \ldots \text{final 8 of 44:} \\
\quad \implies 0.764567 \\
\quad \implies 0.558013 \\
\quad \implies 0.764567 \\
\quad \implies 0.558014 \\
\quad \implies 0.764567 \\
\quad \implies 0.558014 \\
\quad \implies 0.764567 \\
\quad \implies 0.558014 \\
\quad \implies 0.764567 \\
\quad \implies 0.558014 \\
\end{align*}

\section*{2.1 Star (*) option: fixed points}

In the first two of these examples, iteration eventually ended at a fixed point. This is a point \(x\) where \(f(x) = x\) and, by induction, \(f^n(x) = x\). Appending a star (asterisk) to the \texttt{\iter} command is the signal for iteration to continue until a fixed point has been reached at the specified rounding value:

\begin{align*}
\iter*{1+a/x}[a=n(n+1),n=1,x=1] \implies 2
\end{align*}

(with the default rounding value 6).\footnote{For your own interest try also putting \(n = 2, 3, 4, \ldots\).} The star overrides any value for the number of iterations to perform (the \texttt{do} key) that may have been entered in the settings option. It also overrides any elements of the display other than the numerical result. With the star option math delimiters are irrelevant – other than displaying minus signs correctly when \texttt{\iter*} is between them.

A function may not approach a fixed point when iterated – see the examples with the logistic function above. To prevent an infinite loop \texttt{\iter*} counts the number of iterations performed and when that number reaches a certain cut-off value – the default is 100 – the loop terminates and a message is displayed:

\begin{align*}
\iter*{kx(1-x)}[k=3.5,x=0.5] \implies \\
\quad \text{!!! No fixed point attained after 100 iterations of: formula. !!!}
\end{align*}

In this case we know that a fixed point does not exist, but that may not always be the case. One response is to change parameter values or starting value of the iteration variable. For instance, changing the parameter value to \(k = 1.5\),

\begin{align*}
\iter*{kx(1-x)}[k=1.5,x=0.5] \implies 0.333334,
\end{align*}

and a fixed point is now attained.

But should a fixed point still not eventuate after ‘fiddling’ with parameter and start values, there are two general adjustments one might try: either

1. reduce the rounding value, from the default 6 (or the one specified), to a smaller value, or
2. increase the cut-off figure from the default 100 to some higher value.

The former is done via the trailing \texttt{[num format]} optional argument; the latter is done via the settings option, see §2.2.

2.1.1 Use with \texttt{\textbackslash nmcInfo}

It is of interest to know how many iterations are required to reach a fixed point at a particular rounding value. That knowledge allows a good guess as to whether a fixed point will be attained at a greater rounding value. Thus when iterating the function

\[ f(t_{ij}) = c^{-1}\sqrt{r_i^2 + r_j^2 - 2r_ir_j\cos(\theta_j - \theta_i + \omega t_{ij})} \]

in §1.1 only 5 iterations were required to attain 6-figure accuracy for the fixed point. That information came by following the \texttt{\textbackslash iter*} command with \texttt{\textbackslash nmcInfo} (or \texttt{\textbackslash info}) with the argument \texttt{iter}. And generally, for any ‘infinite’ process, follow the command with an \texttt{\textbackslash info} command if you want to know how many ‘steps’ – in the present case iterations – are required to achieve the result. So, if 5 iterations achieve 6-figure accuracy, presumably something like 10 iterations will achieve 12-figure accuracy:

\[
\texttt{\textbackslash iter*}\{ c^{-1}\sqrt{r_i^2 + r_j^2 - 2r_ir_j\cos(\theta_{ij} + \omega t_{ij})} \}
\]

\[
\texttt{\quad\textbackslash \textbackslash quad\textbackslash info\{iter\}.}
\]

\[ \Rightarrow 0.356899026113, \quad 9 \text{ iterations}. \] Only 9 iterations suffice to achieve 12-figure accuracy. Or again, with another earlier example,

\[ \$ \texttt{\textbackslash iter*}\{\cos x|x=\pi/2\} \$, \texttt{\textbackslash info\{iter\}.} \Rightarrow 0.739085, \text{37 iterations}. \]

That suggests that around 74 iterations will give 12-figure accuracy, well within the cut-off figure of 100:

\[ \$ \texttt{\textbackslash iter*}\{\cos x|x=\pi/2\}[12] \$, \texttt{\textbackslash info\{iter\}.} \Rightarrow 0.739085133215, \text{72 iterations}. \]

2.2 Settings option

The settings option is a comma-separated list of items of the form \texttt{key = value}.

2.2.1 Inherited settings

Nearly all of the keys discussed in the settings option for \texttt{\textbackslash nmcEvaluate} are available for \texttt{\textbackslash nmcIterate}. Table 1.1 above lists these, repeating a table from
Thus should a quantity in the vv-list depend on the iteration variable, forcing an implicit mode calculation, simply enter (as with \eval) vvmode=1 in the settings option:

\iter*[vvmode=1]{\$ 1+f(x) \$}[f(x)=a/x,a=12,x=1] \Rightarrow 4.

Implicit in this example is the default multi-token setting xx=1 inherited from \eval and ensuring that the multi-token variable \( f(x) \) is treated correctly.

Let’s add dbg=1 to the example:

\iter*[dbg=1,vvmode=1]{\$ 1+f(x) \$}[f(x)=a/x,a=12,x=1] \Rightarrow

vv-list: \ x=1, a=12, \_nmcu =a/x
formula: \ 1+\_nmcu
stored: \ x=4.000000223240948, a=12, \_nmcu =2.999999832569298
fp-form: \ 1+(2.999999832569298)
result: \ 4

The multi-token variable \( f(x) \) has been changed to the single-token \_nmcu. The values of \( x \) and \_nmcu shown are those of the final iteration.

2.2.2 \iter-specific settings

In addition to the inherited settings there are some specific to \nmclIterate. These are listed in Table 2.1.

2.2.2.1 Iteration variable

In nearly all of the examples so far, the iteration variable has been the rightmost variable in the vv-list and has not needed to be otherwise specified. However it is sometimes not feasible to indicate the variable in this way. In that case, entering

\var = <variable name>

in the settings option enables the variable to be specified, irrespective of what the rightmost variable in the vv-list is. Here, <variable name> will generally be a character like \( x \) or \( t \) or a token like \alpha, but it could also be a multi-token name like \( x' \) or \beta_{ij} \ (or even Fred if you so chose). Although the iteration variable can be independently specified like this, it must still be given an initial value in the vv-list — only it need not be the rightmost variable.

In the following example the rightmost variable is \( a \) which is clearly not the iteration variable:
Table 2.1: Settings for `nmIterate`

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>var</td>
<td>token(s)</td>
<td>iteration variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>fixed point extra rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>max. iteration count (fixed points)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>number of iterations to perform</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>number of final iterations to view</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse</td>
<td>int (0/1/2)</td>
<td>form of result saved with <code>reuse</code></td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \text{\texttt{\textbackslash iter}}[\texttt{var=x'},\texttt{do=40,see=5}]{\{ 1+a/x'' \}}[x''=a/6,a=6][\texttt{*}] \implies 1 + a/x'' = 7.000000, \quad (x'' = a/6, a = 6) \]
\[ \text{... final 5 of 40:} \]
\[ \rightarrow 2.999998 \]
\[ \rightarrow 3.000001 \]
\[ \rightarrow 2.999999 \]
\[ \rightarrow 3.000000 \]
\[ \rightarrow 3.000000 \]

2.2.2.2 Extra rounding for fixed-point calculations

`numerica` determines that a fixed point has been reached when the difference between successive iterations vanishes when rounded to the current rounding value. One might want reassurance that this really is the correct value by seeking a fixed point at a higher rounding value than that displayed. This extra rounding is achieved by entering

\[ + = \langle \text{integer} \rangle \]

in the settings option. By default this extra rounding is set to zero.

We have seen before that \( \cos x \) starting at \( x = \frac{1}{2} \pi \) takes 37 iterations to reach a 6-figure fixed point 0.739085, about 6 iterations per decimal place. By entering \( +1 \) in the settings option the number of iterations is increased to 43, 6 more than 37 but, reassuringly, the 6-figure result that is displayed remains unchanged:

\[ \text{\texttt{$ \text{\textbackslash iter\{\texttt{+=1}\}\{\cos x\}}[x=\pi/2]$}}, \text{\texttt{\textbackslash info\{iter\}}}. \implies 0.739085, 43 \text{ iterations.} \]

2.2.2.3 Maximum iteration count for fixed point searches

To prevent a fixed-point search from continuing indefinitely when no fixed point exists, there needs to be a maximum number of iterations specified after which point the search is called off. By default this number is 100. To change it enter
max = <positive integer>

in the settings option.

2.2.2.4 Number of iterations to perform

To specify the number of iterations to perform enter

\[ \text{do} = \text{<positive integer>} \]

in the settings option. Note that if the \* option is present this value will be ignored and iteration will continue until either a fixed point or the maximum iteration count is reached. By default do is set to 5. (Note that do can be set to a greater number than max; max applies only to \textit{\textbackslash iter*}.)

2.2.2.5 Number of iterations to view

To specify the number of final iterations to view enter

\[ \text{see} = \text{<positive integer>} \]

in the settings option. By default see is set to 4. Always it is the last see iterations that are displayed. If see is set to a greater value than do, all iterations are shown. If the star option is used the see value is ignored.

2.2.2.6 Form of result saved by \textbackslash reuse

By entering

\[ \text{reuse} = \text{<integer>} \]

in the settings option of the \textit{\textbackslash iter} command it is possible to specify the form of result that is saved when using \textit{\textbackslash nmcReuse}. (This setting has no effect when the star option is used with \textit{\textbackslash nmcIterate}. In that case only the numerical result of the fixed point calculation – if successful – is saved.) The possibilities are:

- \text{int=0} (or any integer \( \neq 1, 2 \)) saves the display resulting from the \textit{\textbackslash iter} command (the default);
- \text{int=1} saves a comma-separated list of pairs of the form:
  \( \{k, \text{value-of-k-th-iterate}\} \);
- \text{int=2} saves a comma-separated list of iterate values.

Note that the number and content of the items in the lists are those resulting from the see setting (the number of iterations to view).

\[ \text{\textbackslash iter[reuse=1,do=12,see=4]} \]
\[ \{[kx(1-x)]\}[k=3.5, x=0.5] \]
\[ \text{\textbackslash reuse[logistic]} \]
\[ kx(1 - x) = 0.875, \quad (k = 3.5, x = 0.5) \]

... final 4 of 12:
\[ \leftarrow 0.874997 \]
\[ \leftarrow 0.38282 \]
\[ \leftarrow 0.826941 \]
\[ \leftarrow 0.500884 \]

whence \texttt{\logistic} \[\Rightarrow\] 9,0.874997,10,0.38282,11,0.826941,12,0.500884. As you can see the control sequence \texttt{\logistic} displays as a comma-separated list of numbers, alternating between the ordinal of the iterate, and the iterate value. In fact these values are grouped as braced pairs separated by commas in \texttt{\logistic}, as can be seen by using \TeX{}'s \texttt{\meaning} command:

\begin{verbatim}
\meaning\logistic \Rightarrow
macro:->{{9,0.874997},{10,0.38282},{11,0.826941},{12,0.500884}}
\end{verbatim}

### 2.2.3 Changing default values

If you wish to change the default values of the various settings for \texttt{\nmcIterate} this can be done by entering new values in a configuration file \texttt{numerica.cfg} as described in the chapter on settings in the associated document \texttt{numerica-basics.pdf}. The relevant keys are listed in Table 2.2, corresponding to the \texttt{+}, \texttt{max}, \texttt{do}, \texttt{see} and \texttt{reuse} settings of the \texttt{\iter} command. (Obviously it makes no sense to have a default setting for the iteration variable. That will change from case to case.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key</th>
<th>Default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iter-extra-rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-max-iterations</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-do</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-see-last</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 2.3 Errors

By errors I refer to \texttt{numerica} errors rather than \LaTeX{} errors. We have already met one in the discussion of fixed points:

\[ \texttt{\iter}\{kx(1-x)\}[k=3.5,x=0.5] \Rightarrow \]

!!! No fixed point attained after 100 iterations of: formula. !!!

For a function to be iterated indefinitely, its range must lie within or be equal to its domain. If even part of the range of a function lies outside its domain, then on repeated iteration there is a chance that a value will eventually be calculated which lies in this ‘outside’ region. Iteration cannot continue beyond this point.
and an error message is generated. As an example consider the inverse cosine, \( \arccos \). This can be iterated only so far as the iterated values lie between ±1 inclusive. If we try to iterate \( \arccos \) at 0 for example, since \( \cos \frac{1}{2} \pi = 0 \), \( \arccos 0 = 1.5708 \) (which is \( \frac{1}{2} \pi \)) so only a first iterate is possible. But we could choose an initial value more carefully; 37 iterations of the cosine at \( \frac{1}{2} \pi \) led to a fixed point 0.739085, so let’s choose 0.739085 as initial point and perform 37 iterations:

\[
\text{\texttt{\textbackslash{}iter[do=37,see=4]{\[ \arccos x \]}[x=0.739085] \implies}}
\]

\[
\arccos x = 0.739085, \quad (x = 0.739085)
\]

... final 4 of 37:

\[
\begin{align*}
&\leftrightarrow 0.644659 \\
&\leftrightarrow 0.870219 \\
&\leftrightarrow 0.515149 \\
&\leftrightarrow 1.029615
\end{align*}
\]

The result of the 37th iteration is greater than 1. Thus increasing the number of iterations to 38 should generate an error message:

\[
\text{\texttt{\textbackslash{}iter[do=38,see=4]{\[ \arccos x \]}[x=0.739085] \implies!!! 13fp error ‘Invalid operation’ in: formula. !!!}}
\]

13fp objects when asked to find the inverse cosine of a number greater than 1.
Chapter 3

Finding zeros and extrema: \texttt{\textbackslash nmcSolve}

\texttt{numerica} provides a command \texttt{\textbackslash nmcSolve} (short-name form \texttt{\textbackslash solve}) for finding a zero of a function, should it have one. In the following example,

\[
\texttt{\textbackslash solve}[[ \texttt{e^{ax}-bx^2} ]] | a=2,b=3,{x}=0 \Rightarrow \text{e}^{ax} - bx^2 = -0.00002, \quad (a = 2, b = 3) \rightarrow x = -0.390647,
\]

I have sought and found a solution \( x \) to the equation \( e^{ax/2} - bx^2 = 0 \) when \( a = 2 \) and \( b = 3 \), starting with a trial value \( x = 0 \), entered as the rightmost variable in the vv-list (and em-braced since I don’t want this trial value displaying in the presentation of the result). Although \( x \) has been found to the default six-figure accuracy, it is evident that the function vanishes only to five figures. Let’s check:

\[
\texttt{\textbackslash eval}\{ bx^2 \} | b=3,x=x=-0.390647 \Rightarrow bx^2 = 0.457815, \quad (b = 3, x = -0.390647),
\]
\[
\texttt{\textbackslash eval}\{ e^{ax} \} | a=2,x=x=-0.390646 \Rightarrow e^{ax} = 0.457813, \quad (a = 2, x = -0.390647);
\]

the values agree save in the final digit.

This discrepancy in the final decimal place or places is a general feature of solutions found by \texttt{\textbackslash solve}. It is the value of \( x \), not the value of \( f(x) \), that is being found (in this case) to six figures. If the graph of a function crosses the \( x \)-axis steeply then the \( x \) value (the zero) may be located to a higher precision than the function value. Conversely, if the graph of a function crosses the \( x \)-axis gently (at a shallow angle) then the function value will vanish to a greater number of decimal places than the zero (the \( x \) value) is located.

A second example, which we can check with values tabulated in \textit{HMF}, is

\[
\texttt{\textbackslash solve}[[ \texttt{\tan x - \lambda x} ]] | \lambda=-1/0.8,{x}=1 \Rightarrow \text{tan} x - \lambda x = -0.00002, \quad (\lambda = -1/0.8) \rightarrow x = 1.95857.
\]
3.1 Extrema

A function may not have a zero or for the given initial trial value and initial step in the search for a zero there may be a local extremum in the way. In that case numerical may well locate the local extremum (maximum or minimum but not a saddle point). For example for the quadratic \((2x-1)^2 + 3x + 1\) the \texttt{solve} command gives the result

\[
\texttt{solve[vvi]=} \{ (2x-1)^2+3x+1 \} \{x=2\} \\
\Rightarrow (2x-1)^2 + 3x + 1 = 1.9375 \Rightarrow x = 0.124999.
\]

Since \((2x-1)^2+3x+1 \neq 0\) for any (real number) \(x\), we deduce that the quadratic takes a minimum value 1.9375 at \(x = 0.125\) – easily confirmed analytically. This particular minimum is a global minimum but in general any extremum found is only \textit{local}. The function may well take larger or smaller values (or vanish for that matter) further afield.

It is also worth noting in this example the \texttt{vvi=} in the settings option which suppresses display of the \texttt{vv}-list. (The only member of the \texttt{vv}-list is the trial value \(x=2\) which we do not want to display.)

Note that the function for which a zero is being sought is \textit{not} equated to zero when entered in the \texttt{solve} command. It is \texttt{solve\{ f(x) \}}, not \texttt{solve\{ f(x)=0 \}}. This is precisely because it may be an extremum that is found rather than a zero (if extremum or zero is found at all – think \(e^x\)). The display of the result makes clear which is which, equating \(f(x)\) to its value, zero or extremum depending on what has been found, as you can see in the preceding examples.

3.1.1 The search strategy

If you have some sense of where a function has a zero, then choose a trial value in that vicinity. \texttt{solve} uses a bisection method to home in on the zero. It therefore needs two initial values. For the first it uses the trial value you specify, call it \(a\) and for the second, by default, it uses \(a+1\). (The default value 1 for the initial step from the trial value can be changed in the settings option; see §3.3.) If \(f(a)\) and \(f(a+1)\) have opposite signs then that is good. Bisection of the interval \([a, a+1]\) can begin immediately in order to home in on the precise point where \(f\) vanishes. Write \(b = a+1\).

- Let \(c = \frac{1}{2}(a+b)\); if \(f(c) = 0\) the zero is found; otherwise either \(f(a), f(c)\) are of opposite signs or \(f(c), f(b)\) are of opposite signs. In the former case write \(a_1 = a, b_1 = c\); in the latter case write \(a_1 = c, b_1 = b\) and then
redefine $c = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 + b_1)$. Continue the bisection process, either until an exact zero $c$ of $f$ is reached ($f(c) = 0$) or a value $c$ is reached where the difference between $a_{n+1}$ and $b_{n+1}$ is zero at the specified rounding value. (But note, $f(c)$ may not vanish at that rounding value – the zero might be elsewhere in the interval and $f$ might cross the axis at a steep slope.)

However $f(a)$ and $f(b) = f(a + 1)$ may not have opposite signs. If we graph the function $y = f(x)$ and suppose $f(a), f(b)$ are distinct but of the same sign, then the line through the points $(a, f(a)), (b, f(b))$ will intersect the $x$-axis to the left of $a$ or the right of $b$ depending on its slope. We search always towards the $x$-axis in steps of $b - a$ (= 1 with default values).

- If the line intersects the axis to the left of $a$ then $c = a - (b - a)$ and we set $a_1 = c, b_1 = a$; if the line intersects the axis to the right of $b$ then $c = b + (b - a)$ and we set $b_1 = c, a_1 = b$. The hope is that by always taking steps in the direction towards the $x$-axis that eventually $f(c)$ will be found to lie on the opposite side of the axis from $f(a_n)$ or $f(b_n)$, at which point the bisection process begins.

- Of course this may not happen. At some point $c$ may lie to the left of $a_n$ but $|f(c)| > |f(a_n)|$, or $c$ may lie to the right of $b_n$ but $|f(c)| > |f(b_n)|$. The slope has reversed. In that case we halve the step value to $\frac{1}{2}(b - a)$ and try again in the same direction as before from the same point as before ($a_n$ or $b_n$ as the case may be).

- Should we find at some point that $f(a_n) = f(b_n)$ then the previous strategy does not apply. In this case we choose $a_{n+1}$ and $b_{n+1}$ at the quarter and three-quarter marks between $a_n$ and $b_n$. Either $f(a_{n+1})$ and $f(b_{n+1})$ will differ and the previous search strategy can start again or we are on the way to finding an extremum of $f$.

As already noted it is also possible that our function has neither zeros nor extrema. To prevent the search continuing indefinitely, numerical uses a cut-off value for the maximum number of steps pursued – by default set at 100.

### 3.1.1.1 Elusive extrema

The strategy ‘search always towards the $x$-axis’ has a consequence: it means that a local maximum above the $x$-axis will almost certainly not be found, since ‘towards the $x$-axis’ pulls the search away from the maximum. Similarly a local minimum below the $x$-axis will also not be found since ‘towards the $x$-axis’ pulls the search away from the minimum.

One way of countering this elusiveness is to add a constant value (possibly negative) to the function whose zeros and extrema are being sought. The zeros of the function will change but the abscissae ($x$ values) of the extrema remain unchanged. If the constant is big enough it will push a local minimum above the axis where it can be found or, for a negative constant, push a local maximum below the axis where it can be found.
For example \( f(x) = x^3 - x \) has roots at \(-1, 0, 1\), a local maximum at \( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \) and a local minimum at \( \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \). To locate the minimum, I have added an unnecessarily large constant \( k \) to \( f(x) \). (\( k = 1 \) would have sufficed, but note, \( k = 0 \) fails.)

\[
\text{\texttt{solve}}\{x^3-x+k\}[k=5,\{x\}=0.5] \Rightarrow \\
x^3 - x + k = 4.6151, \ (k = 5) \rightarrow \ x = 0.577351.
\]

Checking, \texttt{eval}\{\texttt{frac}\{\texttt{sqrt}\{3\}\}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = 0.57735. \ There \ is \ a \ discrepancy \ in \ the \ 6\text{th} \ decimal \ place \ which \ can \ be \ eliminated \ by \ using \ the \ extra \ rounding \ setting; \ see \ §3.3.2.3.

### 3.2 Star (*) option

A starred form of the \texttt{mcSolve} command suppresses all elements of display of the result apart from the numerical value. When nesting a \texttt{solve} command within another command this is the form to use. Thus with the ‘elusive’ extremum example above, we can find the actual value of the minimum by nesting the starred \texttt{solve} command within the vv-list of an \texttt{eval} command:

\[
\text{\texttt{eval}}\{\texttt{frac}\{\texttt{sqrt}\{3\}\}} \Rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} = 0.57735. \ There \ is \ a \ discrepancy \ in \ the \ 6\text{th} \ decimal \ place \ which \ can \ be \ eliminated \ by \ using \ the \ extra \ rounding \ setting; \ see \ §3.3.2.3.
\]

### 3.3 Settings option

The settings option is a comma-separated list of items of the form \( key = value \).

#### 3.3.1 Inherited settings

The keys discussed in the settings option for \texttt{mcEvaluate} are also available for \texttt{mcSolve}. The very first example in this chapter used the punctuation option \( p \) (\texttt{solve}[p]{\{\ldots \}) inherited from the \texttt{eval} command to ensure a comma after the display-style presentation of the result. We also saw in the quadratic example illustrating extrema the use of \texttt{vvi} with no value to suppress display of the vv-list: \texttt{solve[vvi]=}\{\texttt{$ ...\}

Putting \texttt{dbg}=1 produces a familiar kind of display. Using the function

\[
ct - \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos(\beta + \omega t)}
\]

from the rotating disk problem,

\[
\text{\texttt{solve}}[\texttt{dbg}=1,\texttt{var}=t,\texttt{p}=,\texttt{vvd}=,]{\langle v\rangle}{\langle v\rangle},{*} \\
\{ct-\sqrt{a^2+b^2-2ab\cos(\beta+\omega t)}\} \\
\{c=30,a=10,b=20,\beta=1,\omega=0.1,\{t\}=0\}[4]
\]
3.3.1.1 Multi-line display of the result

By default the result is presented on a single line. Unless the star option is being used, this can be of the form \( \text{function} = \text{function value}, (vv-list) \rightarrow \text{result} \). It takes only a slightly complicated formula and only a few variables in the vv-list before this becomes a crowded line, likely to exceed the line width and extend into the margin. To split the display over two lines choose a \( \text{vvd} \) specification in the vv-list like, for instance, \( \text{vvd} = \{,\} \begin{vmatrix} \text{vv} \end{vmatrix} \). The \( \begin{vmatrix} \text{vv} \end{vmatrix} \) is a trigger for \texttt{numerica} to replace whatever environment the \texttt{eval} command is wrapped around with a \texttt{multline} environment. An asterisk in the vv-list replaces \texttt{multline} with \texttt{multline*} so that no equation number is used:

\[
\texttt{solve}[p=.,vvd=\{,\}\begin{vmatrix} \text{vv} \end{vmatrix},*]
\begin{align*}
\{&ct-\sqrt{a^2+b^2-2ab\cos(\beta+\omega t)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
ct - \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - 2ab \cos(\beta + \omega t)} = -0.0015,
\]

\[
\begin{array}{c}
(c = 30, a = 10, b = 20, \beta = 1, \omega = 0.1) \\
\rightarrow \quad t = 0.5809.
\end{array}
\]

You could introduce a third line if you wished to display the result on a line of its own by using the spec. \texttt{vvd} = \{,\}\begin{vmatrix} \text{vv} \end{vmatrix}:
Table 3.1: Settings for \texttt{\textbackslash mcSolve}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>\texttt{var}</td>
<td>token(s)</td>
<td>equation variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\texttt{dvar}</td>
<td>real ≠ 0</td>
<td>initial step size</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>extra rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>max. number of steps before cut off</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\texttt{reuse}</td>
<td>int (0/1)</td>
<td>form of result saved with \texttt{\textbackslash reuse}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The function evaluates to $-0.0015$. Is this a zero that has been found or an extremum? To find out, the calculation needs to be carried out to a higher rounding value which is the reason why \texttt{\textbackslash mcSolve} has an extra rounding setting; see §3.3.2.3 below.

### 3.3.2 \texttt{solve}-specific settings

In addition there are some settings peculiar to \texttt{\textbackslash mcSolve}. These are listed in Table 3.1.

#### 3.3.2.1 Equation variable

By default the equation variable is the rightmost variable in the vv-list. This may not always be convenient. A different equation variable can be specified by entering

\begin{verbatim}
var = <variable name>
\end{verbatim}

in the vv-list. \texttt{<variable name>} will generally be a single character or token – $x$, $t$, $\alpha$, $\omega$ – but is not necessarily of this kind. Multi-token names are perfectly acceptable (with the default \texttt{xx=1} multi-token setting).

#### 3.3.2.2 Initial step size

The vv-list must contain the equation variable set to some trial value. But \texttt{\textbackslash solve} needs two initial values to begin its search for a zero or extremum; see §3.1.1. If the equation variable is set to a trial value $a$ then by default the second value is $a + 1$. The ‘+1’ here can be changed by entering in the settings option

\begin{verbatim}
dvar = <non-zero real number>
\end{verbatim}

For instance, \texttt{dvar=-1}, or \texttt{dvar=\pi} are two valid specifications of initial step size.

The notation is prompted by the use of expressions like $x + dx$ in calculus.
An example where the default step value is too big and a smaller one needs to be specified is provided by Planck’s radiation function \((HMF \text{ Table 27.2}),\)

\[
f(x) = \frac{1}{x^5(e^{1/x} - 1)}.
\]

From the (somewhat coarse-grained) table in \(HMF\) it is clear that there is a maximum of about 21.2 when \(x\) is a little more than 0.2. This is a maximum above the \(x\)-axis and hence ‘elusive’. To find it, substract 100 (say) from the formula and again use the ability to nest commands to display the result. Note the dvar=0.1 in the settings option of the \texttt{\solve}\ command:

\[
\begin{align*}
\texttt{eval[p=.]} \{ \frac{5}{e^{1/x}} \} \\
\texttt{x=\{ solve*[dvar=0.1]} \\
\texttt{\{ frac[y=5]{e^{-1/y}-100 }[y=0.1] } \\
\texttt{\}} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \frac{1}{x^5(e^{1/x} - 1)} = 21.201436, \quad (x = 0.201405).
\]

The maximum is indeed a little over 21.2 and the \(x\) value a little more than 0.2.

The default dvar=1 is too big for this problem. From the table in \(HMF\), \(f(0.1) = 4.540\) and \(f(1.1) = 0.419\). By subtracting 100 from \(f(x)\) the ‘towards the \(x\)-axis’ search strategy leads to negative values of \(x\), an artifact of the subtraction.

### 3.3.2.3 Extra rounding

\texttt{\solve}\ determines that a zero or an extremum has been reached when the difference between two successive bisection values or step values vanishes at the specified rounding value (the value in the final trailing optional argument of the \texttt{\solve}\ command; 6 by default). If our function is \(f(x)\) then \(|x_{n+1} - x_n| = 0\) to the specified rounding value and (assuming \(x_{n+1} > x_n\)) there is a critical value \(x_c \in [x_n, x_{n+1}]\) such that \(f(x_c) = 0\) (to all 16 places of decimals that \texttt{13fp} works to). But in general the critical value \(x_c\) will not coincide with \(x_n\) or \(x_{n+1}\). If \(f(x)\) crosses the \(x\)-axis at a steep angle it may well be that although \(f(x_c)\) vanishes to all 16 places of decimals, \(f(x_n), f(x_{n+1})\) may well not vanish at the (generally smaller) specified rounding value. For instance, suppose \(f(x) = 1000x - 3000.\)

\[
\texttt{solve[vvi]} \{ 1000x - 3000 \} [x=e][4*] \implies \\
1000x - 3000 = -0.0409 \rightarrow \quad x = 3.0000.
\]

In this example, although the difference between successive \(x\) values vanishes to 4 places of decimals, \(f(x)\) does not, not even to 2 places.

This suggests it would be helpful to be able to use two rounding values, one to determine when a zero has been found and one for the visible display. This is done through the extra rounding key in the settings option. Enter
in the settings option of the \texttt{solve} command to add \texttt{<integer>} to the rounding value determining the conclusion of the calculation. By default the setting is +%0. The extra rounding setting leaves the display rounding unaffected.

With this option available it is easy to check that +%3 suffices to ensure that both \(x\) and \(f(x)\) vanish to 4 places of decimals,

\[
\texttt{solve[+3]{\$ 1000x-3000 \$}[x=e][4*] \implies 1000x - 3000 = 0.0000, \ (x = e) \rightarrow \ x = 3.0000,}
\]

and that +%2 does not, i.e., we need to locate the zero to \(4 + 3 = 7\) figures to ensure the function vanishes to 4 figures.

There is no need for the \texttt{<integer>} to be positive. In fact negative values can illuminate what is going on. In the first of the following, the display is to 10 places but the calculation is only to \(10 - 4 = 6\) places. In the second, the display is again to 10 places, but the calculation is to \(10 - 3 = 7\) places.

\[
\texttt{solve[+3]{\$ 1000x-3000 \$}[x=e][10*] \implies 1000x - 3000 = -0.0008711259, \ (x = e) \rightarrow \ x = 2.9999991289,}
\]

\[
\texttt{solve[+3]{\$ 1000x-3000 \$}[x=e][10*] \implies 1000x - 3000 = -0.0000366609, \ (x = e) \rightarrow \ x = 2.9999999633.}
\]

Only in the second does \(f(x) = 1000x - 3000\) vanish when rounded to 4 figures.

Returning to an earlier example (§3.3.1.1) in which it was not entirely clear whether a zero or an extremum had been found, we can now resolve the confusion. Use the extra rounding setting (and pad with zeros to emphasize the 4-figure display by adding an asterisk in the trailing optional argument):

\[
\texttt{solve[+3,vvd=,]{\(ct\sqrt{a^2+b^2-2ab\cos(\beta+\omega t)}\)}[c=30,a=10,b=20,\beta=1,\omega=0.1,t=0][4*] \implies}
\]

\[
ct - \sqrt{a^2 + b^2 - 2ab\cos(\beta + \omega t)} = 0.0000, \\
(c = 30, a = 10, b = 20, \beta = 1, \omega = 0.1) \rightarrow \ t = 0.5810
\]

### 3.3.2.4 Maximum number of steps before cut-off

Once two function values have been found of opposite sign, bisection is guaranteed to arrive at a result. The problem is the \textit{search} for two such values. This may not terminate – think of functions like \(e^x\) or \(1/x\) which lack both zeros and extrema. To prevent an infinite loop, \texttt{solve} cuts off the search after 100 steps. This cut-off value can be changed for a calculation by entering
max = <positive integer>

in the settings option.

To illustrate, we know that \(1/x\) has neither zero nor extremum, but we do not get an infinite loop; we get an error message if we attempt to ‘solve’ \(1/x\):

\[
\text{\texttt{solve}\{1/x\}[x=1] \Rightarrow} \\
!!! \text{No zero/extremum found after 100 steps for function: } 1/x. !!!
\]

3.3.2.5 Form of result saved by \texttt{\textbackslash reuse}

As with \texttt{\textbackslash eval} and \texttt{\textbackslash iter} it is possible to specify to some extent what is saved to file when using \texttt{\textbackslash reuse} after a \texttt{\textbackslash solve} command. The form of entry in the settings option is

\[
\text{reuse} = \text{<integer>}
\]

If the star option is used with the \texttt{\textbackslash solve} command the numerical result is the only thing saved, but in the absence of the star option,

- \texttt{reuse}=0 saves the form that is displayed. For example, if the display is of the form function = function value, (\textit{vv-list}) → result then that is what is saved; this is the default behaviour;
- \texttt{reuse}=1 (or any non-zero integer) saves only the numerical result.

3.3.3 Changing default values

If you wish to change the default values of the various settings for \texttt{\textbackslash nmcSolve} this can be done by entering new values in a configuration file \texttt{numerica.cfg} as described in the chapter on settings in the associated document \texttt{numeric-basics.pdf}. The relevant keys are listed in Table 3.2, corresponding to the \texttt{dvar}, \texttt{+}, \texttt{max} and \texttt{reuse} settings of the \texttt{\textbackslash solve} command. (Obviously it makes no sense to have a default setting for the solution variable. That will change from case to case.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solve-first-step</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solve-extra-rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solve-max-steps</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solve-reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.2: Defaults for \texttt{\textbackslash nmcSolve}
Chapter 4

Recurrence relations:
\texttt{nmcRecur}

One of the simplest recurrence relations is that determining the Fibonacci numbers, $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n$, with initial values $f_0 = f_1 = 1$. The command \texttt{nmcRecur}, short-name form \texttt{recur}, allows calculation of the terms of this sequence:

$$\verb|\texttt{nmcRecur}|\{f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n\}$$

where $f_0 = f_1 = 1$.

$$\Rightarrow 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \ldots$$

The recurrence relation is entered in the main argument (between braces), the initial values in the \texttt{vv}-list trailing the main argument, and the display specification is placed in the settings option: \texttt{do=8} terms to be calculated, all 8 to be viewed (\texttt{see1=8}), and the display to be concluded by an ellipsis to indicate that the sequence continues (but those are three dots/periods/full stops in the settings option).

A more complicated recurrence relation determines the Legendre polynomials:

$$(n + 2)P_{n+2}(x) - (2n + 3)xP_{n+1}(x) + (n + 1)P_n(x) = 0.$$  

For the purposes of \texttt{recur} we need $P_{n+2}$ expressed in terms of the lower order terms:

$$P_{n+2}(x) = \frac{1}{n + 2} \left((2n + 3)xP_{n+1}(x) - (n + 1)P_n(x)\right).$$

It is this standard form – the term to be calculated on the left, equated to an expression involving a fixed number of lower-order terms on the right – that \texttt{numerica} works with. For $P_0(x) = 1$, $P_1(x) = x$ and $x = 0.5$, the terms are calculated thus:

$$\texttt{recur}[p,\texttt{do=11,see1=4,see2=2,vvd=\{}vv\}vvd=*,\ldots]$$

29
\[ P_{n+2}(x) = \frac{1}{n+2} \bigl((2n+3)xP_{n+1}(x) - (n+1)P_{n}(x)\bigr) \]
\[ \{P_1(x) = x, P_0(x) = 1, x = 0.5\} \]

\[ P_{n+2}(x) = \frac{1}{n+2} \left((2n+3)xP_{n+1}(x) - (n+1)P_{n}(x)\right), \]
\[ (P_1(x) = x, P_0(x) = 1, x = 0.5) \]
\[ \rightarrow \quad 1, \ 0.5, \ -0.125, \ -0.4375, \ldots, \ -0.267899, \ -0.188229, \]

where \( P_9(0.5) \) and \( P_{10}(0.5) \) are the last two displayed values (and to 6-figures are the values listed in HMF Table 8.1).

These examples also illustrate a common behaviour of the \texttt{numerica} commands: when wrapped around math delimiters: the display is of the \texttt{expression=\texttt{result}} form, and when placed between math delimiters the display is simply of the \texttt{result}. When used without math delimiters, \texttt{numerica} treats the command as if it had been placed between \[ \].

\section*{4.1 Notational niceties}

More than the other commands in \texttt{numerica}, \texttt{\textbackslash mcrecur} depends on getting the notation into a standard form.

- The terms of the recurrence must be \textit{subscripted}: \( f_n, P_n(x) \) are examples.
- The recurrence relation is placed in the main (mandatory) argument of \texttt{\textbackslash mcrecur} in the form: \textit{high-order term=function of lower-order terms}.
- The initial-value terms in the \texttt{vv-list} must occur left-to-right in the order \textit{high to low order}.
- The recurrence variable changes by 1 between successive terms.

The example for Legendre polynomials in particular shows what is required. The Fibonacci example is simpler, since the recurrence variable does not occur independently in the recurrence relation as it does with the Legendre polynomials. In both cases though the recurrence variable is absent from the \texttt{vv-list}.

\subsection*{4.1.1 Vv-list and recurrence variable}

The recurrence variable is required in the \texttt{vv-list} only when an implicit mode calculation is undertaken. Suppose we write \( A \) and \( B \) for the coefficients \( 2n+3 \) and \( n+1 \) respectively in the Legendre recurrence. \( A \) and \( B \) will now need entries in the \texttt{vv-list} which means the recurrence variable will need a value assigned to it there too, and we will need to add \texttt{vvmode=1} to the settings option.
\( P_{n+2}(x) = \frac{1}{n+2} \left( AxP_{n+1}(x) - BP_n(x) \right) \),

\( P_1(x) = x, P_0(x) = 1, x = 0.5, A = 2n + 3, B = n + 1, n = 0 \)

\[ \Rightarrow \]

\( P_{n+2}(x) = \frac{1}{n+2} \left( AxP_{n+1}(x) - BP_n(x) \right), \)

\( (P_1(x) = x, P_0(x) = 1, x = 0.5, A = 2n + 3, B = n + 1, n = 0) \)

\( \rightarrow \)

1, 0.5, -0.125, -0.4375, ..., -0.267899, -0.188229.

Since the vv-list is evaluated from the right, the left-to-right high-to-low ordering of the initial-value terms means the value of the lowest order term is read first. Although numerica depends on this order of occurrence of the terms, they do not need to be consecutive as in the examples so far (although it is natural to enter them in this way). numerica reads the value of the subscript of only the right-most term (the lowest order term), increments it by 1 when reading the next recurrence term to the left, and so on. The reading of the subscript of the lowest order term in the vv-list provides the initial value of the recurrence variable.

In the following example I have placed other items between \( P_1(x) \) and \( P_0(x) \) in the vv-list (but maintained their left-to-right order) and given the recurrence variable \( n \) a ridiculous initial value \( \pi^2/12 \). (Because of the order in which things get done 'behind the scenes', some value is necessary so that the \( n \) in ‘\( B = n + 1 \)’ does not generate an ‘unknown token’ message.) The result is unchanged.

\[ \Rightarrow \]

\( P_{n+2}(x) = \frac{1}{n+2} \left( AxP_{n+1}(x) - BP_n(x) \right), \)

\( (A = 2n + 3, P_1(x) = x, B = n + 1, n = \pi^2/12, P_0(x) = 1, x = 0.5) \)

\( \rightarrow \)

1, 0.5, -0.125, -0.4375, ..., -0.267899, -0.188229.

### 4.1.2 Form of the recurrence relation

As noted earlier, the form of the recurrence must be entered in the main argument in the form: highest order term = function of consecutive lower order terms.

The number of lower order terms is the order of the recurrence. The Fibonacci
and Legendre polynomial recurrences are both second order and presented in
the form: \( n + 2 \)-th term = function of \( n + 1 \)-th term and \( n \)-th term. We could
equally have done

\[
\text{vecRecur}[p,do=8,see1=8,\ldots]
\{ f_{n} = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2} \}
[f_{1} = 1, f_{0} = 1]
\Rightarrow f_{n} = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}, \; (f_{1} = 1, f_{0} = 1) \rightarrow 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \ldots,
\]
where now the recurrence is of the form \( n \)-th term = function of \( n - 1 \)-th term
and \( n - 2 \)-th term, or (adjusting the coefficients as well as the recurrence terms),

\[
\text{vecRecur}[p=.\ldots,do=10,see1=4,see2=2,vvd={,},*] \{ \text{vec}{n+1}\}
\{ \text{P}_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left( (2n+1)xP_{n}(x) - nP_{n-1}(x) \right) \}
\{ \text{P}_{2}(x) = -0.125, \text{P}_{1}(x) = x, x = 0.5 \}
\Rightarrow
\]

\[
P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \left( (2n+1)xP_{n}(x) - nP_{n-1}(x) \right),
\]

\[
(P_{2}(x) = -0.125, P_{1}(x) = x, x = 0.5)
\rightarrow 0.5, -0.125, -0.4375, -0.289062, \ldots, -0.267899, -0.188229.
\]

The recurrence here is of the form \( n + 1 \)-th term = function of \( n \)-th term and
\( n - 1 \)-th term. This last example has one further ‘wrinkle’. I’ve made \( P_{1}(x) \)
the lowest order term and decreased the number of terms to calculate by 1
accordingly.

4.1.3 First order recurrences (iteration)

The recurrence relations for both the Fibonacci sequence and Legendre polyno-
mials are second order. There is no reason why the recurrence should not be
of third or higher order or, indeed, lower. A first order recurrence provides an
alternative means of iterating functions. \texttt{vecRecur} therefore provides a means to
display the results of an iteration in a different form from \texttt{iter}.

Iterating \( 1 + a/x \) in this way, 16 terms gives the sequence

\[
\text{vecRecur}[do=16,see1=0,see2=3,\ldots] \{$
x_{n+1} = 1 + a/x_{n}\}
\}$[x_{0}=1,a=1]
\Rightarrow x_{n+1} = 1 + a/x_{n}, \; (x_{0} = 1, a = 1) \rightarrow 1.618037, 1.618033, 1.618034, \ldots
\]
to be compared with the example near the start of Chapter 2. (That effected
15 iterations; \textit{this} uses 16 terms because of the extra \( x_{0} = 1 \) term.)
4.2 Star (*) option

When the star option is used with the \texttt{\textbackslash mcRecur} command, only a single term, the last, is presented as the result. Repeating the last calculation, but with the star option produces

\begin{verbatim}
\texttt{\textbackslash recur\{p=.,do=10\}\{\}
\texttt{P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1}{n+1} \Bigl((2n+1)xP_{n}(x) - nP_{n-1}(x)\Bigr)\}}
\}
\end{verbatim}

\[\] \[P_{2}(x) = -0.125, P_{1}(x) = x, x = 0.5\] \[\Rightarrow -0.188229\]

Although punctuation (a full stop) was specified in the settings, it has been ignored in the display of the result. Other settings would also have been ignored with the exception of the do key which is required to know exactly which term to calculate. The star option produces a purely numerical answer without any trimmings.

4.3 Settings

The settings option is a comma-separated list of items of the form \textit{key = value}.

4.3.1 Inherited settings

Because recurrence terms are necessarily multi-token, the multi-token key is hard-coded in \texttt{\textbackslash recur} to \texttt{xx=1}.

4.3.1.1 Multi-line formatting of result

When the \texttt{\textbackslash recur} command wraps around math delimiters, the \texttt{vvd} setting is available to split display of the result over two or more lines. For example, \texttt{vvd=\{}\texttt{vvd(vv)}\texttt{\}} pushes the vv-list and sequence of calculated values to a second line; or, \texttt{vvd=\{}\texttt{quad(vv)}\texttt{\}} pushes only the sequence of calculated values to a second line; or \texttt{vvd=\{}\texttt{quad(vv)}\texttt{\}} pushes the vv-list, centred, to a second line and the sequence of values, right aligned, to a third line. The * setting is available to suppress equation numbering (by substituting \texttt{multline*} for \texttt{multline}).

\begin{verbatim}
\texttt{\textbackslash mcRecur[do=8,see1=8,...,vvd=\{}\texttt{\textbackslash quad(vv)}\texttt{\}},*]
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
\{\$ f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n \} \$\}
[f_{1}=1, f_{0}=1]
\end{verbatim}

\[\Rightarrow \]

\[f_{n+2} = f_{n+1} + f_n, \quad (f_1 = 1, f_0 = 1)\] \[\rightarrow 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21, \ldots\]
Table 4.1: Settings for \texttt{\textbackslash mcRecur}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>int $\geq 0$</td>
<td>number of terms to calculate</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see1</td>
<td>int $\geq 0$</td>
<td>number of initial terms to display</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see2</td>
<td>int $\geq 0$</td>
<td>number of final terms to display</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>chars</td>
<td>follow display of values with an ellipsis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse</td>
<td>int (0/1/2)</td>
<td>form of result saved with \texttt{reuse}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.2 \texttt{\textbackslash recur}-specific settings

4.3.2.1 Number of terms to calculate

By entering

\[ \texttt{do} = \texttt{<integer>} \]

in the settings option you can specify how many terms of a recurrence to calculate. The default is set to 7 (largely to show a sufficient number of terms of the Fibonacci series to begin to be interesting). Note that \texttt{<integer>} will generally not correspond to the subscript on the last term calculated since that also depends on the value of the subscript of the lowest order term in the vv-list.

4.3.2.2 Number of terms to display

By entering

\[ \texttt{see1} = \texttt{<integer1>}, \texttt{see2=<integer2>} \]

in the settings option, you can specify how many initial terms of the recurrence and how many of the final terms calculated you want to view. If the sum of these settings is less than the \texttt{do} setting, then the terms are displayed with an intervening ellipsis. If the sum is greater than the \texttt{do} setting, then the values are adjusted so that their sum equals the \texttt{do} setting and all terms are displayed.

The adjustment is preferentially to \texttt{see1}. Suppose \texttt{do}=7, \texttt{see1}=5, \texttt{see2}=4. Then \texttt{see2} is left unchanged but \texttt{see1} is reduced to 7-4=3. If, say, \texttt{do}=7, \texttt{see1}=5, \texttt{see2}=8, then \texttt{see2} is reduced to 7 and \texttt{see1} to -1 (rather than zero, for technical reasons). The reason for preserving \texttt{see2} over \texttt{see1} is for the functioning of the \texttt{reuse} setting (see above).

The default value for \texttt{see1} is 3; the default value for \texttt{see2} is 2.

4.3.2.3 Ellipsis

Including three dots in the settings option

\[ \ldots \]
ensures that a (proper) ellipsis is inserted after the final term is displayed. An example is provided by the display of the Fibonacci sequence at the start of this chapter. By default this option is turned off.

4.3.2.4 Form of result saved by \reuse

By entering

\reuse = <integer>

it is possible to specify the form of result that is saved when using \nmcreuse. (This setting has no effect when the star option is used with \nmcrecur. In that case only the numerical result of the final term calculated is saved.) There are three different outputs possible:

- int=0 (or any integer ≠ 1, 2) saves the full display (the default);
- int=1 saves a comma-separated list of braced pairs of the form: \{k, value-of-term=k\} for the last see2 terms calculated;
- int=2 saves a comma-separated list of the values of the last see2 terms calculated.

As an example, using reuse=1,

\begin{verbatim}
\ recur[reuse=1,p=.,vvmode=1,do=11,see1=4,see2=2,
vvd={,}\(vv)\,*\]{\[ P_{n+2}(x)=\frac{1}{n+2}\Bigl(kxP_{n+1}(x)-(n+1)P_{n}(x)\Bigr)\]}
\ reuse[legendre]
\end{verbatim}

\begin{align*}
P_{n+2}(x) &= \frac{1}{n+2} \left( kxP_{n+1}(x) - (n+1)P_n(x) \right), \\
&= \frac{1}{n+2} \left( (2n+3)xP_{n+1}(x) - 123P_n(x) \right) \\
&\rightarrow 1, \ 0.5, \ -0.125, \ -0.4375, \ \ldots, \ -0.267899, \ -0.188229.
\end{align*}

Now check to see what has been saved:

\begin{verbatim}$\legendre$ \Rightarrow 11, -0.267899, 12, -0.188229.
\end{verbatim}

As you can see, the final two (because of see2=2) of the 12 Legendre polynomials calculated have been saved, each value preceded by its index value. If the setting had been reuse=2, only the two values would have been saved. The \legendre control sequence contains the values as comma-separated braced pairs, as can be seen by using \TeX’s \meaning command:

\begin{verbatim}\meaning\legendre \Rightarrow macro:->\{11,-0.267899\},\{12,-0.188229\}\end{verbatim}
4.3.3 Changing default values

If you wish to change the default values of the various settings for \texttt{mcRecur} this can be done by entering new values in a configuration file \texttt{numerica.cfg} as described in the chapter on settings in the associated document \texttt{numerica-basics.pdf}. The relevant keys are listed in Table 4.2, corresponding to the \texttt{do}, \texttt{see1}, \texttt{see2} and \texttt{reuse} settings of the \texttt{recur} command.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>recur-do</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recur-see-first</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recur-see-last</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recur-reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3.4 Orthogonal polynomials

I’ve used Legendre polynomials in examples above, but orthogonal polynomials generally lend themselves to the \texttt{recur} treatment. Quoting from HMF 22.7, orthogonal polynomials \( f_n \) satisfy recurrence relations of the form

\[
a_{1n} f_{n+1}(x) = (a_{2n} + a_{3n} x) f_n(x) - a_{4n} f_{n-1}(x),
\]

or in the standard form required by \texttt{recur},

\[
f_{n+1}(x) = \frac{a_{2n} + a_{3n} x}{a_{1n}} f_n(x) - \frac{a_{4n}}{a_{1n}} f_{n-1}(x).
\]

HMF 22.7 provides a listing of the coefficients \( a_{in} \) for the polynomials of Jacobi, Chebyshev, Legendre, Laguerre, Hermite and others, and tables for these polynomials.

For example, Laguerre polynomials satisfy the recurrence

\[
L_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n+1-x}{n+1} L_n(x) - \frac{n}{n+1} L_{n-1}(x).
\]

with initial values \( L_0(x) = 1 \) and \( L_1(x) = 1 - x \). So let’s calculate the first 13 Laguerre polynomials for, say, \( x = 0.5 \):

\[
L_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n+1-x}{n+1} L_n(x) - \frac{n}{n+1} L_{n-1}(x)
\]

\[
(L_1(x) = 1 - x, L_0(x) = 1, x = 0.5)
\]

\[
1, 0.5, 0.125, -0.145833, \ldots, -0.313907, -0.23165
\]
and for $x = 5$:

$$L_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n+x}{n+1}L_n(x) - \frac{n}{n+1}L_{n-1}(x)$$

$L_1(x) = 1-x$, $L_0(x) = 1$, $x = 5$

$$\Rightarrow L_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n+1-x}{n+1}L_n(x) - \frac{n}{n+1}L_{n-1}(x), (L_1(x) = 1 - x, L_0(x) = 1, x = 5)$$

$\rightarrow 1, -4, 3.5, 2.666667, \ldots, 0.107544, -1.448604$.

The results (reassuringly) coincide with those provided in HMF Table 22.11.

### 4.3.5 Nesting

It is possible to use the \texttt{\recur\*} command (but only the starred form) in the \texttt{eval}, \texttt{iter}, and \texttt{solve} commands, and indeed in \texttt{\recur} itself, but with this caveat: if \texttt{\recur\*} is nested within another command, the initial terms of the recurrence – e.g., $f_1 = 1, f_0 = 1$, for the Fibonacci series, or $L_1(x) = 1 - x, L_0(x) = 1$ for the Laguerre polynomials – must be located in the vv-list of that inner \texttt{\recur\*} command. Other shared variables can often be shifted to the vv-list of the outer command, but not these initial terms.

The terms of a recurrence relation are multi-token variables but \texttt{numerica} requires single tokens for its calculations. The problem for \texttt{\recur} is that the terms in the recurrence relation in the main (mandatory) argument differ from the terms in the vv-list: for instance $f_n$ in the main argument, $f_0$ in the vv-list. If left like that, when \texttt{numerica} does its conversion from multi-token to single token variables, $f_n$ would not be found since it differs from $f_0$. Hence a crucial first step for \texttt{\recur} is to reconcile the different forms, which it does by converting the forms in the vv-list to the forms in the recurrence in the main argument. To be available for this form change, they must reside in the inner vv-list. In the outer vv-list they would be inaccessible to the inner command.

***

This suggests an alternative way of proceeding: write the initial values of the recurrence terms in the same form in which they occur in the recurrence relation, together with an initial value for the recurrence variable: $f_{n+1} = 1, f_n = 1, n = 0$, say. This is not how mathematicians write the initial values in recurrence relations, which is why I did not pursue it, but it neatly sidesteps what is otherwise an initial awkwardness.
In the following example I multiply together (rather futilely) the third and fourth members of the sequence of Laguerre polynomials for \( x = 5 \) (the answer expected is \( \frac{3.5 \times 2.666667}{9.333334} \)). Note that although it is tempting to shift the shared \( \texttt{vv} \)-lists of the inner \texttt{recur*} commands to the \( \texttt{vv} \)-list of the outer \texttt{eval} command, in fact only the \( x=5 \) entry has been transferred:

\[
\begin{align*}
\texttt{\texttt{eval}}[\texttt{p=} . . ] & \Rightarrow [ \texttt{\texttt{recur* [do=3]}} \\
& \quad \{ \texttt{L}_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n+1-x}{n+1}L_{n}(x) - \frac{n}{n+1}L_{n-1}(x) \} \\
& \quad [L_{1}(x) = 1-x, L_{0}(x) = 1] \\
& \quad \texttt{\texttt{times}} \\
& \quad \texttt{\texttt{recur* [do=4]}} \\
& \quad \{ \texttt{L}_{n+1}(x) = \frac{2n+1-x}{n+1}L_{n}(x) - \frac{n}{n+1}L_{n-1}(x) \} \\
& \quad [L_{1}(x) = 1-x, L_{0}(x) = 1] \\
& \quad \} \texttt{[x=5]} \Rightarrow 3.5 \times 2.666667 = 9.333334.
\end{align*}
\]
Chapter 5

Reference summary

5.1 Commands defined in numerica-plus

1. \texttt{mcIterate, \iter}
2. \texttt{mcSolve, \solve}
3. \texttt{nmRecur, \recur}

5.2 Settings for the three commands

5.2.1 Settings for \texttt{mcIterate}

Settings option of \texttt{mcIterate}:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>var</td>
<td>token(s)</td>
<td>iteration variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>fixed point extra rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>max. iteration count (fixed points)</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>number of iterations to perform</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>number of final iterations to view</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse</td>
<td>int (0/1/2)</td>
<td>form of result saved with \texttt{\reuse}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Configuration settings for \texttt{\mcIterate}:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>iter-extra-rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-max-iterations</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-do</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-see-last</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iter-reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5.2.2 Settings for \texttt{mcSolve}

Settings option of \texttt{mcSolve}:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>var</td>
<td>token(s)</td>
<td>equation variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dvar</td>
<td>real ≠ 0</td>
<td>initial step size</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+</td>
<td>int</td>
<td>extra rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>max</td>
<td>int &gt; 0</td>
<td>max. number of steps before cut off</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse</td>
<td>int (0/1)</td>
<td>form of result saved with \texttt{reuse}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Configuration settings for \texttt{mcSolve}:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>solve-first-step</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solve-extra-rounding</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solve-max-steps</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solve-reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2.3 Settings for \texttt{mcRecur}

Settings option of \texttt{mcRecur}:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>type</th>
<th>meaning</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>do</td>
<td>int ≥ 0</td>
<td>number of terms to calculate</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see1</td>
<td>int ≥ 0</td>
<td>number of initial terms to display</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>see2</td>
<td>int ≥ 0</td>
<td>number of final terms to display</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>chars</td>
<td>follow display of values with an ellipsis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reuse</td>
<td>int (0/1/2)</td>
<td>form of result saved with \texttt{reuse}</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Configuration settings for \texttt{mcRecur}:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>key</th>
<th>default</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>recur-do</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recur-see-first</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recur-see-last</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>recur-reuse</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>